

February 24, 1984

LB 1058, 1059

a little bit of checking and discovered that from what we could find out at least that 1058 had the language much closer to the other states, meaning Missouri and so forth, and I guess I would just ask the question, why 1059 instead of 1058, and if in fact the compact in order to be ratified has to have the same language in all the states, why is not Nebraska using the same language then so we can get the compact ratified?

SENATOR HEFNER: Senator Vickers, I'm glad you asked me that question. We do have another bill, 1058, that has the exact same language that the four states are going to try and adopt. However, there was an amendment put on 1058 and so this has held 1058 up. All this here bill would do would extend the time. We don't feel that we can get this all done by July 1, 1984 and so this way we've extended the date from July '84 to July 1, '87. I need to talk to the Speaker and see if we can't get 1058 up this year. I think that the amendment that was put on by the Ag Committee was wrong and I told them that at the hearing that we cannot have any amendments to that bill. It's got to be in the exact same words as the wording from the other states.

SENATOR VICKERS: So, in other words, 1058 is still, in your opinion, is the language that we should have; that's the bill that should pass and all you're trying to do is extend the period of times to give us another year to get 1058 passed or language exactly like 1058. Is that what you're saying?

SENATOR HEFNER: That's correct and the reason I introduced...

SENATOR VICKERS: Okay.

SENATOR HEFNER: ...two bills is because I didn't want the dates in the other bill.

SENATOR VICKERS: Okay, then 1058, you're going to ask the Speaker to designate that a priority bill so we can deal with it. Is that correct?